

Martins, J.I.^{1,2}, Reis, F.O.³, Rodrigues, A.P.¹, Leitão, A.E.^{1,3}, Silva, M.J.^{1,2}, Marques, I.¹, DaMatta, F.M.⁴, Lidon, F.C.², Ribeiro-Barros, A.I.^{1,2}, Ramalho, J.C.^{1,2}

INTRODUCTION

Drought impair photosynthetic components of coffee plants. In the context of expected climate change scenarios, we explored the impact of drought, in interaction with elevated air $[CO_2]$, on leaf pigments in cropped *C. arabica* L. genotypes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plants from *C. arabica* L. *cvs*: **G**eisha **3, Mar**sellesa, and their **Hy**brid

400/700 μL [CO₂] L⁻¹ (**aCO₂/eCO₂**)



Well-Watered (WW), Mild Water Deficit, Severe

Water Deficit, Recovery 14 days period

Photosynthetic pigment content was assessed in chloroplasts extracts [1].

Total Carotenoids (mg g ¹ DW) Total Chiorophylls (mg g ¹ DW) Total C

Figure 1. Leaf pigments concentration (Total carotenoids; Total chlorophylss (a + b); Total zeaxanthin and Total lutein). For each parameter, the mean values +/- SE (n = 4 plants) followed by different letters express significant differences between CO₂ treatments for each water treatment (a, b), or between water treatment for the same CO₂ treatment (A, B), always separately for each genotype, where a > b and A > B.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drought reduced the total content of carotenoids and chlorophylls in aCO₂ in all genotypes (except Hy, SWD), but usually showed a mitigated impact under eCO₂. Zeaxanthin increased in all genotypes in SWD, greater under eCO₂ than aCO₂ in Mar and Hy. Regardless of CO₂, only Hy (SWD) showed greater lutein values as compared with their WW plants. By Rec14, the total content of chlorophylls and carotenoids decreased in Hy under both CO₂ contrasting with the other genotypes.

CONCLUSIONS

Results pointed that eCO₂ may contribute to a better status of the photosynthetic apparatus of plants under SWD, thus improving energy dissipation mechanisms. By Rec14, different recovery after SWD was observed among the genotypes. These findings can be useful for selection of genotypes with greater tolerance and performance under unfavorable climatic conditions.

Reference: 1. Ramalho J.C. et al.1997. Physiol. Plant, 101(1), 229-39, doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb01841.

Acknowledgements: Coffee plants were provided by Hervé Etienne (Cirad-UMR DIADE, France) in the framework of the BreedCAFS project. Work received funding support by European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 727934, proj. BreedCAFS), and by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia through the Scientific Employment Stimulus - Individual Call (CEEC Individual - 2021.01107.CEECIND/CP1689/CT0001, to IM), through the research units CEF (UIDB/00239/2020) and GeoBioTec (UIDP/04035/2020), and the associated laboratory TERRA (LA/P/0092/2020).



8489