# Shade and altitude effects on the ecophysiological performance of *Coffea* Asic 2023 *arabica* L. under agroforestry system on Gorongosa Mountain, Mozambique

Leitão, A.<sup>1,2</sup> (antonioleitao@isa.ulisboa.pt); Cassamo, C.T.<sup>3</sup>; Chiulele, R.<sup>4</sup>; Haarhoff, Q.<sup>5</sup>; Moiane, S.<sup>5</sup>; Rodrigues, A.<sup>1</sup>; Marques, I.<sup>1</sup>; Partelli, F.<sup>6</sup>; Ribeiro-Barros, A.<sup>1, 2</sup>; Ramalho, J.C.<sup>1, 2</sup>

# Introduction

*Coffea arabica* L. productivity is closely influenced by climate conditions. Ecophysiological performance is determined by temperature and irradiation, and the use of agroforestry systems (AFS) might have a positive role, while supporting the recovery of the natural forest (using native trees for shading) in the Gorongosa Mountain.

# **Materials and Methods**

The impact of shade and/or altitude on leaf ecophysiological performance where assessed on 4 years old *Coffea arabica* L. cv. Costa Rica plants, under three altitudes (650, 825, 935 m) and three light levels (deep shade, DS; moderate shade, MS; full Sun, FS) [1]. Net photosynthesis ( $P_n$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters were taken in four daily periods (9-10; 11-12; 13-14; 15-16h) once a month, from April to September 2020.





Figure 1. Annual mean (April to



**Figure 2.** Area under the mean from April to September of quantum yields of the efficiency of electron transport  $(Y_{(II)})$  (**A**), and of the non-photochemical quenching  $(Y_{(NPQ)})$  (**B**), under three light (DS, MS, FS) and three altitude (650, 825, 935 m) conditions. For statistical indexes meaning see Fig. 1 legend.



#### **Results and Discussion**

 $P_n$  was higher in MS and FS (Fig. 1), similarly among altitudes. Higher altitude did not strongly alter  $P_n$  pattern, but often allowed greater  $g_s$  values under FS, likely associated to greater water availability (due to higher rainfall, as well as to fog persistence in some periods).

Despite lower  $Y_{(II)}$  and greater energy dissipation  $(Y_{(NPQ)})$  (Fig. 2), higher irradiance favored greater  $P_n$  in FS and MS plants, in line with the greater yields in 825 and 935 m in such plants.

### Conclusions

Overall, this study showed that strong light restriction do not provide optimal P<sub>n</sub>, with negative impact on bean yield. The AFS management at MS (825 and 935 m) is suitable for coffee growing, without decreasing coffee productivity as compared with FS, with both MS and FS showing high P<sub>n</sub> values.

#### Reference:

[1] Cassamo, C.T. et al., 2022, Agronomy, 12, 2540. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy12102540.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by national funds of Camões-Instituto da Cooperação e da Lingua (Portugal), Agência Brasileira de Cooperação (Brazil), and Parque Nacional da Gorongosa (Mozambique), through the project GorongosaCafé (TriCafé). Portuguese national funding support was also provided by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P. (FCT), through the grant SFRH/BD/153557/2017 (C. Cassamo), through the Scientific Employment Stimulus-Individual Call (CEEC Individual)-2021.0107.CEECIND/CP1689/CT0001 (IM) and to the research units UDB/00239/2020 (CEF), UIDP/04035/2020 (GeoBioTec), and the Associated Laboratory TERAR (LAP//0022/2020). Grant from CNPa, Brazil, D.F. Partelli, J. also greatly acknowledged.

